

colored prite off the Elepeth Princerstion Fund became of the Medical has lev doesn't help nestprofit to (swintment entities and the very lev theil depends absolutely of the lund.

The authorization to deposit into the Historic Preservation Fund expires at the end of this fiscal year, an September 20, 1987—less than 120 days from now. H.R. 1744 would simply amend the Historic Preservation Act and extend the authorization for income into the Historic Preservation

Pund from 1987 to 1992. Mr. Speaker, I would be remiss if I did not point out the disappointment of myself and other committee members at the level of appropriation that has been provided especially in recent years under this authority. The administration posture is very disappointing, requesting zero funding year after year, it has therefore been an uphill fight for the Congress to keep even limited funding in place. This is ironic when we look at the important work that we depend on States and local government to do the certification of historic preservation Federal tax credits, the surveys of historic resources and sites, the creation of State historic preservation plans. Some States have even threatened to abandon the cooperative venture because of the national government mandates without the funding commitment justified to help achieve such State fulfilled tasks. Fortunately to date the intrastructure remains intact. As we reauthorize this Historic Preservation Fund hopefully we will recommit ourselves the Congress and the administration to the basic commitment and promise of the 1966 National Historic Preservation Act.

This legislation should be enacted to help ensure that our past will not be lost, now or in the future. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of this measure to make certain that the Historic Preservation Act has some fuel in the tank to keep the engine running for at least the next few years.

Mr. MARLENEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may con-

sume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 1744, that would extend the authorization of the Historic Preservation Fund through 1992. Rather than listing all the reasons of why this bill should be given approval by this body, let me highlight only one point. This entire bill is only one sentence long and it allows a current program to remain in law until 1992. The administration does not oppose this even though they have recommended zero funding in their last several budgets.

There have been many accomplishments in protecting and keeping our past available for everyone to enjoy. This has been done not only through

from the private sictor and the program has continued between the program has continued between not in the degree that some would like but in short it's alive and well, but maybe not fat and happy.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume and rise to point out this bill enjoys the sponsorship of the sentleman from Arisona IMr. Unall, chairman of the full committee, and the gentleman from California IMr. Lacomarsinol, the ranking minority member, on a bipartisan basis.

I want to thank the gentleman from Montana [Mr. Marlenez] for rising and being in support of this measure. I hope the House will act on this measure.

Mr. MARLENEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume to commend the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. Vento] and the gentleman from California [Mr. Lagomarsino], who has a very great interest in this piece of legislation. I think they have done a superb job with the hearings, and I recommend passage of the legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the bal-

ance of my time.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. VENTO] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1744.

The question was taken; and (twothirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

# COMPUTER SECURITY ACT OF 1987

Mr. ROE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 145) to provide for a computer standards program within the National Bureau of Standards, to provide for Governmentwide computer security, and to provide for the training in security matters of persons who are involved in the management, operation, and use of Federal computer systems, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 145

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled. SECTION I. SHORT HTLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Computer Security Act of 1987".
SEC 1 MIRPOSE

(a) In GENERAL.—The Congress declares that improving the security and privacy of sensitive information in Pederal computer systems is in the public interest, and hereby creates a means for establishing minimum acceptable security practices for such sys-

iris responsibility for inveloping timelant and guidelines for Federal computer an term, including responsibility for developing plandards and guidelines needed a assure the cost-effective security and prine cy of sensitive information in Federal con puter systems, drawing on the technics advice and assistance fincluding work procueds of the National Security Agency, wher appropriate:

(2) to provide for promulpation of suc standards and guidelines by amending ec. tion 111(d) of the Federal Property and Ac ministrative Services Act of 1949:

(3) to require establishment of securit plans by all operators of Federal compute systems that contain sensitive information and

14) to require mandatory periodic training for all persons involved in management, us or operation of Federal computer system that contain sensitive information.

BEC. 2. ESTABLISHMENT OF COMPLTER STANDARI PROGRAM.

The Act of March 3, 1901 (15 U.S.C. 27, 278h), is amended—

(1) in section 2(f), by striking out "and" is the end of paragraph (12), by striking of the period at the end of paragraph (12) an inserting in lieu thereof: "and", and by verserting after such paragraph the following

"(20) the study of computer systems it. that term is defined in section 20(d) of the Act) and their use to control machinery as processes.":

(2) by redesignating section 20 as sectu 22, and by unserting after section 19 the following new sections:

"SEC. 20. (a) The National Bureau .
Standards shall—

"(1) have the mission of developing stanards, guidelines, and associated method and techniques for computer systems:

"(2) except as described in paragraph of this subsection trelating to securistandards), descion uniform stancards as puidelines for Federal computer system. except those systems excluded by section 2505 of title 10. United States Code, or settion 2502(2) of title 44. United States Coo

"(3) have responsibility within the Feder Government for developing technical, me agement, physical, and administratistandards and guidelines for the cost-effitive security and privacy of sensitive into mation in Federal computer system except—

"(A) those systems excluded by secti-2315 of title 10. United States Code or stion 3502(2) of title 44, United States Coc

"(B) those systems which are protected all times by procedures established for infomation which has been specifically authized under criteria established by an Executive order or an Act of Congress to be ke secret in the interest of national defense foreign policy.

the primary purpose of which standards as guidelines shall be to control loss and unchonzed modification or disclosure of sentine information in such systems and to provide computer-related fraud and missisci.

"(4) submit standards and guidelines to reloped pursuant to paragraphs (2) and ( of this subsection, along with recommendations as to the extent to which these show he made compulsory and binding, to the Siretary of Commerce for promuloation una

Or Act w etion price m w. wtenderte le like effectiv iddines developed purru at to year and to s (It. 48), and (3) of this subsection Orrough research and theison with other porerument and private agencies. "(b) In Julilling reduction fel of this sec-

tion, Our National Bureau of Standards to

ENDOTIZED -

"11) to assist the private sector, upon request, in using and applying the results of the programs and activities under this sec-

LIOR "(2) to make recommendations, as appropriate, to the Administrator of General Bernices on policies and regulations proposed pursuant to section 111(d) of the Pederal Property and Administrative Bervices Act of

1948. "Y & as requested, to provide to operators of Pederal computer systems technical asmstance in implementing the standards and guidelines promulgated pursuant to section 111td of the Federal Property and Adminis-

trative Services Act of 1949; "(4) to essist, as appropriate, the Office of Personnel Management in developing regulations pertaining to training as required by section 5 of the Computer Security Act of

1B47:

"(5) to perform research and to conduct studies, as needed, to determine the nature and extent of the vulnerabilities of, and to devise techniques for the cost effective security and privacy of sensitive information in

Pederal computer systems: and

"16) to coordinate closely with other agen cies and offices fincluding, but not limited to. The Departments of Defense and Energy the National Security Agency, the General Accounting Office, the Office of Technology Assessment, and the Office of Management and Budget!-

YAI to assure maximum use of all existing and planned programs, materials, studies, and reports relating to computer systems security and privacy, in order to avoid unnecmeery and costly suplication of effort; and

"(B) to essure, to the maximum extent feesible that standards developed pursuant to subsection (a) (3) and (5) are consistent and compatible with standards and procedures developed for the protection of information Pederal computer systems which is auinorised under criteria established by Executipe order or an Act of Congress to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or invenou policii.

ici For the purposes of-

"(1) developing standards and guidelines for the protection of sensitive information n Federal computer systems under subsections (a)(1) and (a)(3), and

(2) performing research and conducting

studies under subsection (b/(5),

the National Bureau of Standards shall draw upon computer system technical security guidelines developed by the National Security Agency to the extent that the National Bureau of Standards determines that such guidelines are consistent with the renurements for protecting sensitive information in Federal computer systems.

"(d) As used in this section-

"(1) the term 'computer system'-

(A) means any equipment or interconnected system or subsystems of equipment that is used in the automatic acquisition, storage, manipulation, management, move-

Centr. Rose terred by the Ada lacial? of Services pursuant to metion III of the Judoral Property and Administrative Servions Act of 1948:

Val the term 'Poteral computer system rated by Tal means a computer system operated by Federal agency or by a contractor of a "A means a computer apstem of Pederal apency or other organization that processes information fusing a computer system on behalf of the Paderal Government to ecocomplish a Pederal Ametica: and

YBI includes automatic data processing equipment as that term is defined in section 111(a)(2) of the Pederal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1948:

"(3) the term operator of a Federal computer system' means a Federal agency, contractor of a Federal apency, or other organisation that processes information using a computer system on behalf of the federal Government to accomplish a Paderal func-MOR.

term bensitive information means any information, the loss, misuse, or nauthorized access to or modification of which could adversely affect the national interest or the conduct of Federal programs, or the privacy to which individuals are entilled under section \$52a of title 5, United States Code (The Privacy Act), but which has not been specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order or an Act of Congress to be kept secret in the inter-

est of national defense or foreign policy; and "(5) the term "Pederal agency" has the meaning given such term by section 3(b) of the Pederal Property and Administrative

Services Act of 1949.

SEC. 21. 10. There is hereby established a Computer System Security and Privacy Advisory Board within the Department of Commerce. The Secretary of Commerce shall espoint the chairman of the Board. The Board shall be composed of twelve additional members appointed by the Secretary of Commerce as follows:

411 four members from outside the Pederal Government who are eminent in the computer or telecommunications industry, at least one of sohom is representative of small or medium sized companies in such indus-

"(2) four members from outside the Federal Government who are eminent in the fields of computer or telecommunications sechnology, or related disciplines, but who are not employed by or representative of a producer of computer or telecommunications equipment; and

"(3) four members from the Pederal Government who have computer systems management experience, including experience in computer systems security and privacy, at least one of whom shall be from the National

Security AGENCY.

(b) The duties of the Board shall be "(1) to identify emerging managerial tech-

edministrative and physical safeguard series relative to computer systems se-

curity and privacy.

"(2) to advise the Bureau of Standards and the Secretary of Commerce on security and privacy issues pertaining to Federal computer systems and

13) to report its findings to the Secretary of Commerce, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Director of the

notated to ME a vacan-"77) cay member s ey in the Board shall serve for the remainder of the term for which his predecessor was

pointed.

The Board shall not set in the absence of a quorum, which shall consist of ner members.

"ie) Members of the Board, wher then fulles of the Pederal Government. Mar employe while attending meetings of such commitlees or while otherwise performing duties at the request of the Board Chairman while eway from their homes or a regular place of business, may be allowed travel expenses in accordance with subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5. United States Code.

"(f) To provide the staff services necessary to esset the Board in carrying out its functions the Board may utilize personnel from the National Bureau of Standards or any other agency of the Federal Government with the consent of the head of the agency.

"(g) As used in this section, the terms 'computer system' and Federal computer ystem have the mounings given in section Med of this Act." and

(3) by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

SEC. 21. This Act may be cited as the Notional Bureau of Standards Act.

SEC. A AMENDMENT TO BROOKS ACT.

Section 111(d) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 140 U.S.C. 759(8)) is umended to read as folious:

"(d)(1) The Secretary of Commerce shall. on the basis of standards and guidelines developed by the National Bureau of Standerds pursuant to section 20(a) (2) and (2) of the National Bureau of Standards Act, promulgate standards and guidelines pertaining to Federal computer systems, making such standards compulsory and binding to the extent to which the Secretary determines necessary to improve the efficiency of operation or security and privacy of Pederal computer systems. The President may disapprove or modify such standards and suidemes if he determines such action to be th the public interest. The President's authority to disapprove or modify such standards and guidelines may not be delegated. Notice of such disapproval or modification shall be submitted promptly to the Committee on Government Operations of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Gorernmental Affairs of the Senate and shall be published promptly in the Federal Register Upon receiving notice of such disapproval or modification, the Secretary of Commerce shall immediately rescind or modify such standards or guidelines as directed by the President

"(2) The head of a Pederal agency may employ standards for the cost effective security and privacy of sensitive information in a Federal computer system within or under the supervision of that agency that are more stringent than the standards promitigated by the Secretary of Commerce, if such standards contain, at a minimum. The provisions of those applicable standards made compulsory and binding by the Secretary of Com merc

"(3) The standards determined to be compulsory and binding may be sourced by the Secretary of Commerce in writing upon a determination that compliance would ac-

ementation of Pederal course The house of derde co man redelegate such authority only official designated pursuant to section 2506(b) of title 64, United Rinter Code. Notice of each such waiver and delepation shall be transmitted promptly to the Committee on Government Operations of the House of Representatives and the Committee an Governmental Affairs of the Senate and shall be published promptly in the Paderal Register.

(4) The Administrator shall revise the Federal information resources management regulations (41 CFR ch. 201) to be consistent with the standards and swidelines promulpated by the Secretary of Commerce under

this subsection

45) As used in this subsection, the terms "Pederal computer system" and 'operator of a Federal computer system have the meanings given in section 20(d) of the National Bureau of Standards Act.".

SEC. & PEDERAL COMPUTER SYSTEM SECURITY TRAINING

ie) In General.-Bach Federal agency shall provide for the mandatory periodic training in computer security awareness and accepted computer security practice of all employees who are involved with the management, use, or operation of each Federal computer system within or under the supervision of that agency. Such training shell be-

(1) provided in accordance with the guidelines developed pursuant to section 20(a)(5) of the National Bureau of Standards Act (as added by section 3 of this AcU, and in accordance with the regulations issued under subsection (c) of this section for Federal ci-

vilian employees: or

(2) provided by an alternative training program approved by the head of that spency on the basis of a determination that the alternative training program is at least as effective in accomplishing the objectives of such guidelines and regulations

(b) TRAINING OBJECTIVES.—Training under this section shall be started within 60 days after the issuance of the regulations de-acribed in subsection tel. Such training shall

be demoned-

(1) to enhance employees' awareness of the threats to and vulnerability of computer systems and

(2) to encourage the use of improved com-

puter security practices

(c) REGULATIONS. - Within six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Dr. rector of the Office of Personnel Manual ment shall issue regulations prescribing the procedures and scope of the training to be provided Federal civilian employees under subsection (a) and the manner in which such training is to be carried out

SEC. C. ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES FOR COM-PUTER SYSTEMS SECURITY AND PRIVA-CT.

In IDENTIFICATION OF SYSTEMS THAT COM-TAIN SERSITIVE INFORMATION.—Within 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, each Federal agency shall identify each Federal computer system, and system under development, which is within or under the supervision of that agency and which contains sensitive information.

(b) Security Plan - Wilhin one year after the date of enactment of this Act. each such

est to e of the herm results, or unexthorized ss to or modification of the information d in such system. Copies of each ich plan shall be transmitted to the National Bureeu of Standards and the Nation al Becurity Agency for advice and comment A summary of such plan shall be included in the apency's five-year plan required by section 2505 of title 64. United States Code. Such plan shall be subject to disapproval by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget Such plan shall be revised an-Rually as necessary. SEC. I. DEFINITIONS.

As used in this Act, the terms "computer system", "Federal computer system", "operator of a Federal computer system", and "sensitive information", and "Federal agency" have the meanings given in section 20(d) of the National Bureau of Standards Act las added by section 3 of this Acti. BBC. & BULES OF CONSTRUCTION OF ACT.

Nothing in this Act, or in any amendment made by this Act, shall be construed-(1) to constitute authority to withhold in-

formation sought pursuant to section 552 of title 5, United States Code: or

(2) to authorize any Federal agency to limil, restrict, regulate, or control the collection, maintenance, disclosure, use, transfer, or sale of any information (regardless of the medium in which the information may be maintained that is-

(A) privately-owned information (R) disclosable under section 552 of title 5. United States Code. or other law requiring or authorizing the public disclosure of information: of

(C) public domain information.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, a second is not required on this motion.

The gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Rox] will be recognized for 20 minutes and the gentleman from New York [Mr. Horron] will be recognized for 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Roz].

Mr. ROE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. ROE asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROE. Mr. Speaker, Members on both sides of the aisle have worked unusually hard on this bill. I particularly want to acknowledge the leadership of Manuel Lujan, the ranking Republican of the full committee; Doug Wal-GREN and DAVE McCurdy, chairmen of the two subcommittees that dealt with this legislation; and SHERRY BOEHLERT and Tom Lewis, ranking Republicans of the subcommittees. I would like to pay special tribute to DAN GLICKMAN whose inspiration and perseverance were the driving forces behind this bill

Hearings first began nearly 4 years ago in the subcommittee, chaired at that time by Mr. GLICKMAN. Since then, many others, including our colleagues on the Government Oper-

The second second of the second secon

The will the like the Menington are bringing to the limit to the calmination of 2 years' with its represents what I believe is a long-overstue step toward solving a growing problem, one brought about by our ever-increasing dependence on computer systems. It is no secret that society-and especially the Government-has become highly dependent on computers and the enormous quantities of information they contain. Yet the low level of protection given to this information, in most cases, makes it vulnerable to all kinds of abuse.

I believe these steps will go a long way toward protecting the vast array of information with which we entrust the Federal Government. For example, the committee received testimony that computer fraud and abuse cost the Government an estimated \$1 billion each year, largely because of inadequate safeguards in various financial and benefit systems. Another area that concerns me greatly is the integrity of air traffic control information. The FAA absolutely depends on these data to keep airplanes from colliding in the skies. Yet there is very little protection from the possible criminal action of a disgruntled person. Although unlikely, the consequences of such action could be a major air disaster. We simply must take reasonable precautions to assure the integrity of this information.

H.R. 145 accomplishes two objectives that will lead to much greater security of Federal computer systems. First, it calls for improved training of Federal workers in good computer security practice. And it provides a strengthened focal point for developing policy and guidance for the civil agencies.

Mr. Speaker, these provisions of this bill were worked out over many months and with great care. I believe we have a strong consensus among both committees that the bill is a practical and cost-effective approach. I recommend its adoption.

# 1320

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HORTON, Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 5 minutes

(Mr HORTON asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.

Mr. HORTON, Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 145, the Computer Security Act of 1987. This legislation is the product of many months of negotiations between the Government Operations and Science Committees, on the one hand, and the administration on the other. I believe was have fashioned a good, workable bill worthy of the support of all of the Members.

Many people deserve credit for putting this bill together. The chairman Chamble in Minney and Tetrandogy, Bub Boot, the resisting talnor by meniher of the Selence Committee, Minneys, Loiss and, of course, Congressians Day Christman and the original measur of this legislation.

I sino would like to include in that list the White House Chief of Staff House Baker, National Scourity Advisor Frank Carlucci, Secretary of Commerce Malcolm Baldrige, Deputy of Defense Will Taft, and Office of Management and Budget Director Jim Miller.

They worked hard and made it possible for us to bring this landmark legislation to the floor and I think we can all be proud of our work in this matter.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 145 assigns to the National Bureau of Standards responsibility for developing standards and guidelines to assure the cost-effective security and privacy of sensitive, nonclassified information in Federal computer systems. There is no question of the need for a prudent tightening of computer security in the Federal Goverament. The legislation responds to this need by requiring the establishment of security plans by all operators of Pederal computer systems. It also mandates periodic training in accepted computer security practice for all persons involved in the management, use, or operation of those systems.

This bill is directed toward sensitive computer information such as Social Security, tax, and census records. There is a pressing need to control loss and unauthorized modification or disclosure of sensitive information in such systems, both to protect personal privacy and to prevent computer-relat-

ed fraud and abuse.

I want to note that H.R. 145 applies only to computer systems which do not contain classified information. This allows the defense and intelligence communities to meet their specific computer accurity needs in whatever manner is appropriate for them.

I have mentioned that H.R. 145 is the product of negotiations that led to a compromise acceptable to all sides. The main point of controversy was which agency in the Government should have primary responsibility for setting computer security standards for systems which contain unclassified information. The National Security Agency has great expertise in the area of computer security, but that expertise is marrowly focused to meet intelligence and national security needs. The Government Operations Committee, the Science, Space and Technology Committee, and the administration have all concluded that the security responsibility for setting security

deal regularly with a Wate pings of competer squipment veniers. It is best able to do the job called for in H.R.

promise directs XIS to develop the Covernmentwide standards suidelines, drawing upon the work the Rational Becurity Agency, where it is consistent with the requirements of unclassified systems. The National Security Agency has a role with regard to standards or swidelines, but it is advisory to NBS. Chearly, these two agencies must work together if the Government is to take full advantage of the technical resources which are available between them. However, it is my belief-and one shared by both committees that the National Bureau of Standards must be the clear leader when we are dealing with civilian programs.

Mr. Speaker, enactment of H.R. 145 will establish a framework for correcting the defects and lapses in our current means of securing Government civilian computer systems. The bill is supported by the administration. White House and agency officials worked closely with both the Committee on Government Operations and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology to achieve this compromise version. I urge its adoption.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Government Operations, the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Jack Brooks. Mr. BROOKS. Mr. Speaker, as

chairman of the Committee on Government Operations, I rise in full support of the Computer Security Act of 1987. I want to commend Chairman ROL CONGRESSMAN GLICKMAN, and the other members of the Science, Space, and Technology Committee for their excellent work on this legislation. During the 3 days of hearings held by the Government Operations Committee, we found that a strong computer security program was argently needed to protect the Government's computerized data bases from unauthorized manipulation and potential destruction.

Current estimates from the Office of Technology Assessment indicate that over \$60 billion is spent annually by Federal agencies to acquire, develop, and use information technology. While it has greatly increased the efficiency of Government programs, information technology has also made the agencies vulnerable to outside penetration by criminal or foreign elements.

H.R. 145 would correct this problem by increasing the awareness of the critical importance of computer securiable Markett to distinct distinct and guidelines to distinct operate unauthorised access to wind Covernment information and for mandatory training of Poderal employees.

In addition, H.R. 145 would require NBS to create a Computer Security Board composed of experts from the Government and the private sector. The Mil also makes it clear that nothing in this act will affect the release of information as required under the Precedom of Information Act or other laws.

During the committee's consideration of the bill, concerns were raised by a wide range of witnesses that actions by a few DOD officials under national accurity decision directive 145 and the Poindexter directive were leading to "Big Brotherism." To allay these concerns, we worked with the administration to ensure that a civilian agency, NBS, would be in charge of this important program.

I am pleased to say that, as a result of our joint efforts, the administration has given its full support to the passage of the bill, I urge all Members to do likewise and vote in favor of the Computer Security Act of 1987.

I also request permission to include at the close of my comments a letter from the very able Director of the Office of Management and Budget, Jim C. Miller III, on this very subject in which he agrees with the substance of this legislation and he adds the fact, which we all ought to be aware of that the National Security Agency will be willised and will be drawn upon to give available technical knformation to the National Bureau of Standards as a workout of these guidelines. They are not obligated to do that. Mr. Miller points that out. It is advisory. subject to the appropriate national board of a standards review.

The text of the letter referred to is as follows:

Office of Management and Budger, Washington, DC, May 12, 1927. Hon. Jack Brooks,

Chairman, Committee on Government Oporations, House of Representatives. Washington, DC.

DEAR Ma. CHAIRMAN: I am pleased that through intensive consultations between the Administration and the Congress great progress has been made toward agreement on a Computer Security Act of 1987. I hope that this statement of administration views will assist in offering construction solutions to areas where further improvements are desirable.

As we have reviewed H.R. 145, a primary concern has been to assure that roles of the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) and the National Socurity Agency (NSA) are discharged in a manner that will promote a sound public policy and result in efficient. cost effective, and productive solutions. In this regard it is the Administration's position that NBS, in developing Pederal stand-

den gemeentbedeur gleit. Hije op voorteler beliet gemele van de verdeel geween voorteler de verdeel de verdeel geween affort eer bet support sein verdeel de vier de We helme this maid anvidentify deplies tion of dist.

durth, Will bet lines provided by NSA to NBS AND er end::mkrjett to:1 NBS matters, the cases where said agong needs will best the served the standards that are and econsistent with MEA Jeshnical guittefines, the Becretagy of Commerce will have adthority to issue standards that best satisfy the agencies' needs. At the same time agencies will setain the epiton to ask for Passidential resign all stanfarts (insued by the Department of Commerce which the ent with U.S. public not appear to be co interest including that or our national mecurity. I amienclosing proposed changes to the present text of H.R. PG which are consist ent with the WES-WSA relationship outlined above and make several monor changes that would further improve the bill

In planing, I want to make you that a reposted dell within the parameters sufficient in this letter will have the Administration's support.

Bincerely yours.

James C. Miller III.
Director

Mr. HORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield.5 minutes to the gerifleman from New Mexico, Mr. Manuel Laure, the ranking minurity member of the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, one of the principal architects of this legislation.

I would like to take this opportunity to commend the gentleman Ior his fine leadership on this legislation.

Mr. TUJAN. I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time and Jor those kind pematik.

Mr. 'Speaker, if fise in support of H.R. 135, as amended by the Science, Space, and 'Technology Committee. This hill is the product of extensive negotiations with the administration, the Committee on Government Operations and 'the Science Committee. H.R. 145 seeks to focus the civil agencies' attention on the need for computer security training and cost-effective procedures for protecting sensitive Government 'information from unauthorized uses.

Thave expressed strong reservation, in the past, with various provisions in the bill, as introduced. The bill established, within the National Survau of Standards INBS1, the authority to develop computer security guidelines and standards for civil agencies. I field strongly that this should be done with full knowledge and review of any and all existing Paderal afforts in this area. Whether it be within the classified community, or mot, substantial taxpayer dollars have gone toward creating a wealth of technical information on computer security measures.

there is the same and the same and be the same and be the same and the the same and the same and

To further enhance intra-Raderal cooperation. The 10th Wational Computser Security Conference, approximated by NSA and NBS, will be held September 21-24, 4967. The theme of fills year's conference is "Computer Security from Principles to Practices." This conference should unsist in bringing stogether, and would unsist in bringing stogether, and would governments, but also State and book governments, the private sector and academia in a setting that announcing the simpling of technical information and expertise.

Mr. Speaker, this dill addresses the need to secure sensitive information. This is distinct from information flust is clearly under the classified sanitable. Nevertheles, there may be finitiances when a federal agency or a Federal computer system may involve the use of classified and nonclassified information. Under such sincumstances, H.R. 145 gives authority to agency heads to elect the more secure standards, so as to eliminate the need for dual security procedures.

As the fine prints of this legislation thate been worked out over the dast several months, I urge my colleagues to support passage of this legislation. I shelieve it will assist in raising computer accurity procedures "up the indder" of priorities in the Rederal redlian argencies.

Mr. ROE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minwates to the gentleman from Kansas 4Mr. GLICKMARL

## C 4325

Mr. Gillowman. Mr. Spenker, the bill before us today is the product of 2 year's work by two committees, as well as the leadership provided by the gentleman from New Jersey IMr. Bool, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Brooks). The gentleman from New York [Mr. Horrow], and the gentleman from New Mexico Mr. Lovan).

All of these senthesems Beserve a great dual of praise today for gotting this bill to the floor.

The mest for H.R. 1985 was first identified in hearings held almost a years ago. At that time, we noted that the Pederal Government had become totally dependent on automated information systems to perform a multistude of essential services. Purthermore, the information stored in Government computers and transmitted over various communications networks is vulnerable to unauthorized access and disclosure. Transdition manipulation, and disruption. The situation was

of security manifestal beauty property of security manage compiliers. Such people are astronally important in a security some because as studies have shown, they are the greatest problem. It is not the much publicized hacker, working to govetrate from the outside. Bather, at is the insider, the one who already has authorized, that causes the greatest chamage, in practice.

Wet, as we dearmed from GAG's survey of 35 semputer systems, there is very little farmelized offert smale to reach these dudiciduals, to make them aware of gustem submarshilkies and the importance of embancing pensatur.

The purpose of disk side is to strengthen this sink, it does this by establishing a measureh program at the National discussion of Stantiants aimed at developing guidance for one by a general at attentioning sumpater security a waxman deviating programs for their resuployees, it calso sequires that such desiring be given periodically in each agence.

The bill the stablishes a fund point within the Government for developing computer system security standards and guiddines to protect unclassified. but semilive. information. The organizzation decation of this food point is tilize Waltismel Buresu of Standards. The meet for this proficion was precipitatell by National Becurity Decision Directive 165, a directive issued by the President about 3 years ago. The purpose of NEDB-145 was to deal broadly with Government competer security, a widely recognized problem. The implementing means is an interagency committee invested with the authority to issue Governmentwide policy and guidance.

Both sommittees held mearings on the implications of NSDD-145. Both sconduded that although there is a clear need for better centralized leadership in this area, the particular formula in NSDD-145—which favors the military—is imappropriate for handling civilian needs. For this reason, H.R. 1145 establishes a civil counterpart to develop policy and guidance for protecting unclassified, sensitive information.

Mr. Speaker, I believe we have ampie evidence of a disaster waiting to happen in the Federal sector. I think wirtually all Members agree with Threneed to strengthen our overall posture in the computer security area. I also seel we have an exceptable and cost-effective weighted for dealing with the problem. I urge passage of HR. 145.

Mr. Speaker, before I close, in addition to thanking the Members that I did today, I wish to thank the majori-

staffs from the Committee on Government Operations who also deserve that find of praise, as well as other staff members in the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, so with that Mr. Speaker, I arge passage of the Mi.

Mr. WALGREN, Mr. Speeker, I rise in support of H.P. 146, the Computer Security Act of 1967. This measure, which assures civilian control of the computer systems of sivilien programs, is the result of much hard work on the part of the Committee on Government Operations. The Subcommittee on Transportstion, Aviation, and Materials, and my own Subcommittee, Science, Research and Technology. I want to compliment Mr. GLICKMAN, the original author of the bill, who has persevered in bringing this most important issue to the attention of the House, as well as the ranking Republican member of the full committee, Mr. LULAN, for the input and maight he has added to this bill. I also want to express appreciation to the administration for the flexibility and spirit of compromise they have shown in helping to craft a measure which is fair, equitable, and acceptable to all interested parties.

Recent studies by the Government Accounting Office and other agencies have shown that financial losses due to computer-related fraud run into the billions of dollars. Regretfully, our Government has a history of providing adequate security only for computers processing classified data. Computers in the civilian agencies remain inulinerable to knowledgeable cultiiders, known as hackers, as well as to diagruntled or unhappy employees. Therefore, the well-designed, effective security program, provided for in this legislation, is badly needed.

In 1983, a report by the Department of Health and Human Services on computer traud in various Federal agencies reviewed 103 cases of computer abuse and 69 cases of computer fraud. The average theft was \$117,000 and involved low-level employees. Computer abuse typically involved using a Federal computer for outside business or entertainment. Even rudimentary security precautions would have prevented most of these

H.R. 145 addresses these security problems. The measure assigns the National Bureau of Standards [NBS] the responsibility for developing, with the help of the National Security Agency, standards and guidelines, for the cost-effective security and privacy of sensitive information in unclassified Federal computer systems. The bill also requires all operators of Federal computer systems that contain sensitive information to establish computer security plans. Furthermore, it mandates periodic training sessions, administered by the Office of Personnel Management [OPM], for all Government and Government contractor employees who manage, use, or operate these computers. Let me emphasize that H.R. 145 assures civilian control over computers in Federal civilian agencies.

Best. Placeles, 1 with Republic at very set. Miguet Str. R.R. 146, a his fell addys blacksin deport, that hat been severily modified to address Resgan administration donours, and which tackes the problem of Federal computer security in a straightforward, unanbiguous way.

Mr. HORTON, Mr. Speaker, I commend the bill, it is a landmark piece of legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I have no further sequests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GRAY of Illinois). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Roz] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 145, as amended.

The question was taken; and (twothirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROE. I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 145, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

## CONGRATULATIONS TO MON-TANA U.S.A. WRESTLING TEAM

(Mr. MARLENEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MARLENEE. Mr. Speaker, we have with us today the Montana U.S.A. Wrestling Team.

Tomorrow this team, after much long and arduous training and practice, will be traveling to the Soviet Union; and there they will be challenged by teams from all over the Soviet Union.

They are our ambassadors. They are clean cut, vigorous youths of Montana and of this Nation, and we are proud of them.

I wish them well when they go over there. The Russians are hard to pin. but I hope that you pin them down and come back with some medals.

Congratulations to the team.

intents will be with the chine in the chine

Mr. MOODY. Mr. Speiker, Secretary Shults recently said that he had no intention of "passing the hat" among U.S. allies for financial help in keeping shipping lanes open in the Persian Gulf.

On June 13, Secretary Shults told the Los Angeles Times: "The idea of the United States going around (abroad) getting contributions for the support of our Navy Just has no appeal."

Mr. Speaker, contrast the Secretary's remarks here with the record of his top aide, Elliot Abrams, of actively and vigorously soliciting contributions abroad for the Nicaraguan Contras.

Secretary Abrams, as we all now know, traveled to London under an assumed name to meet with the Sultan of Brunei and provide account numbers for Colonel North's Swiss bank accounts. Then he lied to Congress about it.

I am concerned that this administration, and particularly Secretary Shultz, wants to go along when the activity is legal, as in the Persian Gulf, without seeking the active commitment and cooperation of our allies. But when the administration wants to conduct foreign policy clearly in conflict with the will of Congress and our country's laws, as in Central America, it finds our foreign friends convenient sources of funds.

Apparently, passing the hat to carry out foreign policy is OK if the activity is illegal, but it is not if it is legal.

This double standard shows disdain for our all.es and contempt for Congress at a time when the administration badly needs the support and cooperation of both.

The Los Angeles Times article referred to:

[From the Los Angeles Times. June 13. 1987]

## U.S. Won't Pass the Hat for Patrols in Gulf, Shulte says

ARCHORAGE. ALASKA—Secretary of State George P. Shultz. in an implied rebuke to congressional critics of Administration policy on the Persian Gulf. said Priday that the United States has no intention of seeking funds from Japan or nations in Western Europe to pay part of the cost of keeping the gulf open to shipping.

Shultz on the first leg of a 7,575-mile flight from a North Atiantic Treaty Organization foreign ministers meeting in Iceland to talks in the Philippines, said, "The idea of the United States going around setting contributions for the support of our Navy just has no appeal. We don't have to do that."

Shultz spoke to reporters before his aircraft made a refueling stop at Elemdorf Air Porce Base near Anchorage